	ROUTING AND TI	RANSMITTAL SLIP	Date		
TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)				Initials MV	Date Assp 1901
2.	A DOP		-		
3.	ODA	-		1/8	SEP 198
4	ADDA			X	9-14
<u>s.</u>					
-	ction	File	Note and Return		
_	pproval s Requested	For Clearance	Per Conversation Prepare Reply		
_	irculate	For Your Information	See Me		
	omment	Investigate	Signature		
C	oordination	Justify		4.	
REM Cox	eris han	e been p	bru	rans	les P

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals clearances, and similar actions					
FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.				
	Phone No.				
\$041-102 \$ GPO - 1980 0 = 311-156 (17)	OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76) Prescribed by GSA PPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206				

Approved For Reliea set 2003/08/13 Inche RDP 84800890R000400050053-3

DD/A Registry 81-1858

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

EXCOM 9049-81 3 September 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Committee Members TILE 04 M (EXEC CO) FROM: Robert M. Gates Director, DCI/DDCI Executive Staff SUBJECT: Minutes of Executive Committee Meeting, 27 August 1981: Awards 1. The Executive Committee met on 27 August 1981 to review the Agency award program. Admiral Inman chaired the session; participants included Messrs. McMahon (D/NFAC); Fitzwater (DDA); Stein (DDO); Taylor (ADDS&T); Lipton (Compt); Ware (D/EEO); Briggs (IG); [Deputy GC); [(Acting D/OPP); and Glerum (D/OP). 2. Admiral Inman explained that the DCI was concerned that the apparent frequency of award presentations, particularly of the Distinguished Intelligence Medal (DIM), might be derogating the overall value of Agency awards. Mr. Glerum added that the DCI had the impression that awards were being presented more for morale purposes at retirement than for recognizing achievement. Admiral Inman noted that Executive Committee views on this topic would be helpful before making any decisions. [3. Mr. Lipton said he thought there had been more DIMs awarded lately and more at the time of retirement, but he acknowledged having no data to back up this perception. Mr. Fitzwater characterized the existing award program as being "about right" and advocated no changes. He emphasized that the Honor and Merit Awards Board takes its responsibilities very seriously and does its homework before approving any awards. He acknowledged that DIMs were given primarily to SIS members, but noted that progressing from a GS-7 to the SIS level during a Government career seemed an achievement in itself warranting recognition. The Career Intelligence Medal (CIM) is used to recognize outstanding service among lower graded employees. 4. Mr. Briggs noted that the recent increase in retirements as the Agency completed its thirtieth year contributed to the increase in the number of awards. (During 1979-80, 50 percent of the Agency's SIS retired.) He added that he favored giving awards for specific activities or clusters of time rather than at the time of retirement. He also would prefer awarding the CIM for 25 years of service and eliminating the lower level awards. Mr. Stein said that he would be in favor of reserving individual awards for only exceptional contributions to the Agency. He noted his preference for increased use of unit citations to foster and reward cooperative efforts. Mr. McMahon concurred that the maturing of the

STAT

STAT

Administrative - Internal Use Only

Agency has contributed to an increase in the number of awards and said that he would be agreeable to saving the DIM for only exceptional contributions and

moving away from awarding it on retirement. [

Approved For Release 2003/08/13: CIA-RDP84B00890R000400050053-3

	5. Noting that he shared Mr. Fitzwater's views, Mr. Glerum said that he was generally comfortable with the existing award program. He advised that a significant number of award recommendations are turned down; the trend in the number of DIMs awarded is downward (26 in 1979, 22 in 1980, and 17 in 1981 see attached statistics); one-fourth of the DIMs are being presented prior to the time of retirement, and that healthy trend is slowly improving; and there has been a welcome increase in recognition of lower level employees who have made the difficult climb from GS-4 to GS-12 during their careers. He noted that more senior people retire without the DIM than with it.	STAT
STAT	was equitable and working well. Mr. Taylor reinforced earlier comments favoring increased use of unit citations. Mr. Glerum advised that there has been an increase in both meritorious unit citations and monetary awards. Members noted the importance of awards and award ceremonies to the recipients' families, who have often made significant sacrifices during the course of the recipients' careers. Members agreed that senior officials should try to	STAT
	7. Admiral Inman noted that in this era of pay caps, managers have no other way of recognizing employees' contributions except through awards. He then concluded that the broad consensus of the Executive Committee was that the current process was working well, and that while some aberrations may exist, on balance the system is equitable and serves the purposes of the Agency well. If the DCI wished to make some changes, the Committee would prefer to see the DIM as an achievement award rather than a retirement award, acknowledging that some exceptions will be necessary. The DDCI asked if members would favor creating another award or using an Intelligence Community award to recognize senior officials at retirement. Members did not want to create another award and explained that Community awards traditionally were not as meaningful to Agency employees as some means of Agency recognition. Some members noted that the CIN would be an appropriate award for retiring senior officials and using it as such would have the added benefit of upgrading its value for lower graded recipients.	STAT
STAT	8. The meeting was adjourned.	
	Robert M. Gates	STAT
	Attachment: Award Statistics	
	Distribution: 1 - DCI	

ADMINISTRATIVE ___ INTERNAL Attachment Approved For Release 2003/08/13: CIA-RDP84B00896R000400090053-\$tatistics)

<u> iscal Year</u>	I	l Distinguished ntelligence dals Awarded	Achievement	Retirement	Posthumous	SIS/Supergrade Retirement
1970		5	0	5	0	31
1 971		9	0	9	. 0	34
1972		8 .	. 0	8	0	48
1973		20	11	9	0	89
1974		30	11	• 19	0	63
1975		20	9	11	0	56
1976		21	15	6	0	44
[ransitional Quarter		0	0	0	0	7 ·
1 977		9	3	5	1	26
1978		17	6	10	1	48
1979		24	6	17	1	80
1980		22	6	15	1	98
1981*		17	7	10	0	29
						
	TOTALS	202	74	124	4	653

^{*} Through 31 July 1981